

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/655,109	09/05/2000	Mathieu Hubertus Maria Noteborn	LEBV.008.01US	7279
	75	590 10/01/2003			
	Barbara Rae-Venter Ph.D Rae-Venter Law Group PC P O Box 60039			EXAMINER	
				WOITACH, JOSEPH T	
	Palo Alto, CA	Palo Alto, CA 94306-0039	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1632	/)
				DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicants

Application No. **09/655,109**

Applicant(s)

Noteborn et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Joseph Woitach

Art Unit **1632**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	or Reply		
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
mailing	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the		
- If NO p - Failure - Any re		nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. le application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 19, 20		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-33</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.	
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 		
د ∐(14	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
	The translation of the foreign language provisions		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachm	-	production of orders 12 (25 diagon 12)	
_	ortice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) 🔲 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) 🔲 Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/655,109 Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

This application filed September 5, 2000, claims benefit to EPO applications: EP 99202858.9 filed September 2, 1999; and EP 99203465.2, filed October 21, 1999.

Claims 1-33 are pending and currently under examination.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, 22-25, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid encoding an apoptinassociating proteinaceous substance, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
- II. Claims 12-16, 18, drawn to an isolated apoptin-associating proteinaceous substance, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to an antibody that recognizes an isolated apoptin-associating proteinaceous substance, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- IV. Claim 19-21, drawn to a method of inducing apoptosis by providing an proteinaceous apoptin-associating substance, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- V. Claims 19-21, 26, drawn to a method of inducing apoptosis by providing an isolated polynucleotide that encodes a proteinaceous apoptin-associating substance, classified in class 514, subclass 44

Application/Control Number: 09/655,109 Page 3

Art Unit: 1632

VI. Claims 27-30, drawn to a method of detecting the presence of cancer cells comprising expressing a proteinaceous apoptin-associating substance in a cell and evaluating the number of cells that undergo apoptosis, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1

VII. Claim 31-33, drawn to a method for determining a putative cancer-inducing agent comprising contacting a cell with a putative agent and expressing a proteinaceous apoptin-associating substance in a cell then evaluating the number of cells that undergo apoptosis, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.

Claim 19-21 are generic to both groups IV and V, and will be examined to the extent that they encompass the elected invention. The breadth of the method in independent claim 19 recites and encompasses the use of two specific materially different products.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to materially different products have different physical properties not common among themselves. Further, each can be used in different methods requiring materially different method steps for use of each of the products.

Art Unit: 1632

Inventions IV-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to materially different methods requiring in some case different starting materials related to apoptin-associating proteinaceous substance, and each case different specific method steps to practice and different materials to practice the method steps.

Inventions I and V-VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, as exemplified by the multiple methods claimed, the polynucleotides can be used in various methods for various purposes. Additionally, the polynucleotide can be used to make recombinant proteins or provided in expression vectors to determine its role in a particular cell signaling pathway.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the protein can be used to study the biological properties or as an antigen to make antibodies.

Art Unit: 1632

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search required for each of the groups is not co-extensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (703)305-3732.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at (703)305-4051.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,109

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (703) 308-2141.

Joseph T. Woitach

Joe Wastard